

Find the spelling mistakes in this text:

I started my schooling as the majority did in my area, at the local primarry school. I then went to the local secondary school and recieved grades in English, Maths, Phisics, Biology, Geography, Art, Graphical Comunication and Philosophy of Religeon. I'll not bore you with the 'A' levels and above.

Notice the ambiguous English qualification above. It was, in truth, a cource dedicated to reading "Lord of the flies" and other gems, and a weak atempt at getting us to commprehend them. Luckilly my middle-class upbringing gave me a head start as I was already aquainted with that sort of langauge these books used (and not just the Peter and Jane books) and had read simillar books before. I will never be able to put that paticular course down as much as I desire to because, for all its faults, it introduced me to Steinbeck, Malkovich and the wonders of Lenny, mice and pockets.

My education never included one iota of grammar. Lynn Truss points out in "Eats, shoots and leaves" that many people were excused from the rigours of learning English grammar during their schooling over the last 30 or so years because the majority or decision-makers decided one day that it might hinder imagination and expresion (so what, I ask, happened to all those expressive and imaginative people before the ruling?).